







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/640,710	08/18/2000	Blair Lewis Stringam	REC-6201	6471	
7	590 09/26/2003				
	Neil L Mark Esq			EXAMINER	
U S Department of Interior 1849 C Street NW			MARTIR, LILYBETT		
Washington, DC 20240			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2855		
			DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/640,710	STRINGAM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lilybett Martir	2855					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	ebruary 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed							
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	103 O.G. 213.					
4) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu 		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion 	• •						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/640,710

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Combs et al. (Pat. 4,221,004) in view of Wissenbach et al. (Pat. 5,633,809).
 - With respect to claim 13, Combs et al. teaches a sensor for determining as in element 20, using a measurement structure in the open channel as in element 12 and for producing a corresponding output signal; a central processing unit for receiving said output signal from said sensor, for calculating total water flow in the channel based on said output signal and for producing a total flow signal based on the calculated total water flow (Col. 4-5, lines 51-5), and a downwardly depending member which receives said sensor 20 as noted in Figure 1. Combs et al. fails to teach a display device for receiving flow signals from a central processing unit; and a single housing for housing said sensor, said central processing unit, and said display device. Wissenbach et al. teaches a flow monitoring apparatus that has a display device that is a liquid crystal display (Col. 6, lines 52-53) as in element 4 for receiving flow signals and displaying them, a single housing as in element 1 for housing a plurality

of elements such as the microprocessor and the display device (Col. 6, lines 44-47), and a depending member that receives a sensor such as element 41 as noted in Figure 3. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the measurement device of Combs et al. using the teachings of the flow monitoring apparatus of Wissenbach et al. by providing said measurement device with a housing, a liquid crystal display, and a downwardly depending member for receiving the sensor, for the purpose of providing means to protect said measuring device form environmental factors that may affect it's accuracy by damaging it's components, for providing means necessary to display the data related to the flow measurements produced by said device to a user, and for providing means to position said sensor in a fixed downwardly manner by merely re-arranging the position of the location of the sensor in a way that would allow the user to direct the ultrasonic signals produced by it in a preferred manner therefore improving the versatility and the reliability of said device.

- With respect to claim 14, Combs et al. teaches an ultrasonic sensor as in element 20 and said measurement structure comprising a weir or flume as disclosed on Col. 4, lines 4, lines 34-35, as in claim 2.
- With respect to claim 15, Combs et al. fails to teach the utilization of liquid crystal display device. Wissenbach et al. teaches a flow monitoring

Art Unit: 2855

apparatus that has a display device that is a liquid crystal display (Col. 6. lines 52-53) as in element 4. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of the measurement device of Combs et al. using the teachings of the flow monitoring apparatus of Wissenbach et al. by providing said measurement device with a liquid crystal display for the purpose of providing means necessary to display the data related to the flow measurements produced by said device to a user in a reliable and clearly readable manner.

Page 4

Regarding claims 16-18, said claims deal with the way in which data is manipulated and calculations are made and exist as an essential constituent of the claimed invention, and also said limitations have no inventive significance when any calculating means is capable of performing such manipulations and an element having the capabilities to calculate the volume of liquid flowing in the channel is taught in the teachings of Combs et al. (Col. 4-5, lines 51-5). Said claims, also recite mere arithmetical manipulations, which are commonly known in the art, and don't represent any structural difference from what is already known and taught by Combs et al. and Wissenbach et al.

Art Unit: 2855

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the original claims 1-12 have been considered but are not found persuasive. Applicant's arguments have been fully addressed in the above-presented rejection.

Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilybett Martir whose telephone number is (703)305-6900. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.
- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703)305-4816. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Lilybett Martir Examiner Art Unit 2855

ROM

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800